Injunctions for FRAND-encumbered SEPs: Setting Standards ... for Abuse

GCR LIVE CONFERENCE

BRUSSELS, 14 JUNE 2013

NICOLAS PETIT

UNIVERSITY OF LIEGE (ULG)

NICOLAS.PETIT@ULG.AC.BE

WWW.CHILLINGCOMPETITION.COM

What (Legal) Technology is on the Market?

Source	Tests
Existing case-law proof test	Abusive Litigation (<i>Protégé International</i>) Abusive refusal to supply (<i>Magill/IMS Health/Microsoft III</i>) Generic abusive anticompetitive foreclosure (<i>Post Danmark</i>)
New test	Modified abusive refusal to supply (Emanuelson; Körber) Abusive bargaining (Mariniello) "Willing licensee" (reconfigured Orange Book Standard)
Other	"Serious doubts" (article 9, R1/2003)

How to Select the Right Standard?

- No criterion in the by-laws case-law
 - Lawyers v Economists
 - o Economists v Economists; Lawyers v Lawyers
- "Consistency" as a selection rule?
- Various facets of consistency
 - Internal consistency
 - Transversal consistency
 - Economic consistency
 - Constitutional consistency

Brief Overview of Potential Implications

- 4
- Internal consistency

 - ▼ => MARINIELLO
- Transversal consistency
 - ▼ => "Willing licensee" test (reconfigured Orange Book Standard) but HGC (yet, not case-law proof)
- Economic consistency
 - ▼ => "Willing licensee" test (reconfigured Orange Book Standard)
- Constitutional consistency
 - ▲ => Protégé International

Thank you